MINUTES

Weekly RHEA Status Call

January 25, 2012

**Agenda:**

1. Roll call and overview  – LT
2. Facility Registry / LHF  – RC / MM
3. Feedback from Rwanda Trip  – WN
4. Terminology Services – possible options – CF
5. Next project meeting – 26th to 30th March 2012 – LT
6. Any other business

**Discussion Topics:**

1. Facility Registry / LHF  – RC / MM
	1. Ryan – Had a call about facility registry requirements over different countries and the process. Focus should be limited to facility registries for now and requirements with stakeholders in this project Rwanda, Tanzania, and Nigeria. Rwanda is the farthest along with coming up with requirements.
	2. Mead – It is important to encourage people on creating requirements. Was on the call too.
	3. Shaun – Requirements for implementation should be the focus. Was on the call too.
	4. Paul – A lot of demand for architecture on country levels. More tech groups thinking about working together not thinking how tech will be implemented in settings.
	5. Ed – Rwanda’s requirements are not that different or one off, so they will be a good leader.
	6. Shaun – There needs to be an understanding of a platform since it is not a customization since we won’t be able to meet all of the needs of everyone.
2. Feedback from Rwanda Trip  – WN
	1. Linda – Wayne has been training people at Rwanda office. Had meeting with Randy about facility registry developments.
	2. Ed –Started prioritizing. Need to think about approach when users change schema. Have some ideas but want to run it by the team. Some proposal designs have been put together and sent out to Liz and Richard, will need feedback before we start.
	3. Carl – Later in the call let’s talk about the technical areas of this.
3. Terminology Services – possible options – CF
	1. Carl – Document has gone out for review. Need to look into what technologies will fit our requirements. Would like suggestions from the group to do an analysis. Would like something pre-existing, Eplon.
	2. Paul – a lot of home grown work around that. Experience of what one would ask and query and responses. Pilot is not about function it seems like we should look at the response.
	3. Shaun - Describing the frame work is important and I agree Eplon should be on list.
	4. Carl – The group has asked for this to be a priority at this time. Continue that query response and make sure the group agrees with it.
	5. Mead – Long term requirements are going to be more extensive. Would be helpful to look around and see what some of the other groups are using like the CDC.
	6. Paul – This is very big right now and a lot of countries are looking at facility registries and are watching what we are doing.
4. Next project meeting – 26th to 30th March 2012 – LT
	1. Linda - Would like people on this call to send feedback on what they would like to see on the agenda.

**AOB:**

* Lorinne – Was on the call with Matt Berg on Monday are there any thoughts on having them on this call since we are headed in the same direction? Also there are talks of them coming to Rwanda in March. So there may be a bigger group and I know they have some ideas they would like to discuss.
* Paul – Maybe have them on the front or end of the call because this is a call for Rwanda not building the platform.
* Mead – More communication would be useful but agree that projects have some different objectives. Maybe have a call for concerns or something.
* Ryan – In regards to LHF InSTEDD has to deal with two competing clients Randy and RHEA interoperability. It would be nice to have a single person/client so that there is less confusion. Was thinking that Michel could help with these competing requirements by get requirements from Randy and then communicate with RHEA to consolidate and let InSTEDD know. You guys can decide what is right I just want to make sure everyone is aware of the issue.
* Carl – Using the opportunity to use Michel to see how this solution will move forward, using him matches up with the direction we would like to move in. We should have more discussions on it.
* Michel – Am okay with the idea so that we know what is going on and can find discrepancies. Be active where I need to be and observe as well.
* Ed – Would be helpful to have a facility registry call that we can catch up Michel on what we are doing. Would be nice to have a weekly report as well.
* Mead – I think it would be helpful to have an issues call once a week.
* Carl – My thought would be to have it on the second half of this call.
* Ryan – Suggest having a smaller call and then provide feedback on this call. I do not think everyone on the RHEA call needs to be on it. Nico, Ed, and I could have a call before the end of this week to catch up and to decide on a time for the weekly call.
* Paul - …
* Lorinne – Richards’s expectations for what is next?
* Paul – What people who are paying Jembi and what Richard has in mind is a bit different. We can control the development and implement team to make sure that they get the project done because Richard is not concerned about facility registry

Meeting Concluded at 9:50am.

**Attendees:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Organization** | **Name** | **Organization** | **Name** | **Organization** |
| Ryan Crichton | Jembi | Linda Taylor | Jembi | Shaun Grannis | Regenstrief |
| Michel Makolo | Jembi | Mead Walker | Consultant | Jamie Thomas | Regenstrief |
| Chris Seebregts | Jembi | Carl Fourie | Jembi | Lorinne Banister | Regenstrief |
| Ed Jezierski | InSTEDD |  |  | Paul Biondich | Regenstrief |