MINUTES

Client Registry/Project Call

July 6, 2012

**Agenda:**

1. AOB
2. Understanding multiple records – All
3. Merging/Unmerging - All
4. Pilot Expectations – constraints – Shaun
5. Identifiers – All
6. Configuring Algorithm timeline – Odysseas

**Discussion Topics:**

1. **Explaination of Multiple Registrations and how they are handled in OpenEMPI** (Odysseas)
* Odysseas reviewed the various scenerios and how OpenEMPI handles them- see the wiki page [http://216.224.183.123/confluence/display/openempi/RHEA+Interaction+Sequences+with+the+Client+Registry](http://216.224.183.123/confluence/display/openempi/RHEA%2BInteraction%2BSequences%2Bwith%2Bthe%2BClient%2BRegistry)
* There was also some discussion regarding the need for a person who would assist in the merging/matching process – the level of need is difficult to determine at this point. This was tabled for another meeting (Lorinne)
1. **Merging** (All)
* Review of merge functionality
	+ Ryan- Merge functionality not likely to be supported right now from the OpenMRS site- ONLY from the provider registry
	+ Wayne- if there is a record in the EMPI with multiple IDs- can a person un- LINK them? (OP)

this does exist currently in EMPI

* + OO – the un-merge functionality does not currently exist- but could be added if deemed necessary
1. **Constraints** (Shaun)
	* Decision made and confirmed (by Gilbert) that ALL registration (ANC and others) will be included in the scope of the client registry- so this will include acute care visits.
2. **Identifiers** (Shaun)
	* Do we use specific identifiers? Do we exclude any patients if they do NOT Have an ID?
	* Choice of 1) NID 2) RAMA 3) Mutuelle 4) OpenMRS ID (Shaun) are there any other ids that we need to consider? (Wayne) We should architect the system in such a way that we could accommodate them if needed. OP- we can accommodate this in the system.
	* All agreed that we will accept registries with any of those identifiers.
	* (Shaun) Does RAMA or Mutuelle change? Liz to follow up.
	* Can we have a minimal list of identifiers that must be provided in the query so that we don’t get too many records? We do need to limit the number of records returned- where do we build in the restraints? OpenEMPI or OpenMRS? (OP) we may have a way to limit, or define, the number of records returned as a system feature.
3. **Loading the Ubedehe database**
	* (Shaun) Confirmed we will load the Ubedehe data – but have we decided how many records? (Liz- late entry) We will load the entire district.
4. Update on access to server for Ubedehe and OpenEMPI
	* Gilbert has agreed to provide a server to load the Ubedehe data as well as an instance of OpenEMPI tha will be in the MoH and we can get secure access to (for a select few people)
	* This should be able to be set up in about a week.
5. Timeline
	* (Odysseas) We are working on a release to support the pilot- then we will configure the algorithm and then make it available. We definitely need access to the Ubedehe data in order to clarify what we need and how to model that data.
	* (Shaun) we should include the union of the data set from OpenMRS and the data set from Ubedehe. Shaun has asked people to review the spreadsheet on the wiki and comment. Request the call participants comment by next week.
	* (Shaun) Some data sets are not being used at all right now- do we want to include them?

**Action Items:**

* Liz will find out if the RAMA or MUTUELLE IDs change over time.

**Attendees:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Organization** | **Name** | **Organization** | **Name** | **Organization** |
| Rhonwyn Cornell | Jembi | Wayne Naidoo | Jembi | Shaun Grannis | Regenstrief |
| Odysseas Pentakalos | Jembi | Mead Walker | Consultant | Jamie Thomas | Regenstrief |
| Ryan Crichton | Jembi | Wentong Ling | Sysnet | Lorinne Banister | Regenstrief |
| Rowan Seymor | PIH |  |  |  |  |